





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,629		12/12/2001	Wilhelm Rademacher	50061	9694	
26474	7590	04/10/2002				
KEIL & W		· <del>-</del>	EXAMINER			
WASHING		Γ AVENUE, N.W. 20036		PRYOR, ALTON	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
		٠		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1616	X	
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/009,629 Applicant(s)

Wilhelm et al

Examiner

**Alton Pryor** 

Art Unit 1616



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply	·			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM			
af - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ation.  In a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will			
- If NO co - Failur	mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). It is mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
ea	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 12, 2</u>	2001			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This act	tion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
a) [	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☑ None of:				
	1. $ ot\!$	ve been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No			
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th</li> </ol>				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm					
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:					

## Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected, because formula I has improper valance at the Carbon Atom-1 position. See claim 1.

3. Claim 5 provides for the use of plants, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Application/Control Number: 10009629 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

## Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a,b,e)

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Basak et al (Acta Horticulturae, 2000, 514, pp. 41-50).

Basak teaches a method of applying calcium prohexadione to plum plants. Although
Basak does not teach that this method would result in increasing and qualitatively modifying the
content of the flavonoids and phenolic compounds in the plants, it is inherent that said
application would result in increasing and modifying the content of flavonoids and phenolic
compounds in the plants. This is inherent because the prior art as well as the instant invention
simply recite the application of calcium prohexadione onto said plants. The reference is
applicable because of the inventive entity on the reference differs from the inventive entity on
record for the instant invention. English translation of priority document may be used to
overcome this rejection.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyazawa et al (Brighton Crop Prot. Conf. -- Weeds, 1991, vol. 3, pp. 967-72).

Application/Control Number: 10009629

Art Unit: 1616

Miyazawa teaches a method of applying calcium prohexadione to wheat plants. Although Miyazawa does not teach that this method would result in increasing and qualitatively modifying the content of the flavonoids and phenolic compounds in the plants, it is inherent that said application would result in increasing and modifying the content of flavonoids and phenolic compounds in the plants. This is inherent because the prior art as well as the instant invention simply recite the application of calcium prohexadione onto said plants.

## Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

Page 3

4/5/02